

New constructions of two slim dense near hexagons

Binod Kumar Sahoo

Department of Mathematics
National Institute of Technology
Rourkela-769008, Orissa, India.
E-mail: binodkumar@gmail.com
(05 August 2006)

ABSTRACT. We provide a geometrical construction of the slim dense near hexagon with parameters $(s, t, t_2) = (2, 5, \{1, 2\})$. Using this construction, we construct the rank 3 symplectic dual polar space $DSp(6, 2)$ which is the slim dense near hexagon with parameters $(s, t, t_2) = (2, 6, 2)$. Both the near hexagons are constructed from two copies of a generalized quadrangle with parameters $(2, 2)$.

AMS Subject Classification (2000). 51E12, 05B25

Keywords. Partial linear spaces, generalized quadrangles, near polygons.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *partial linear space* is a point-line geometry $S = (P, L)$ with ‘point-set’ P and ‘line-set’ L of subsets of P of size at least 2 such that any two distinct points are contained in at most one line. Two distinct points x and y are *collinear*, written as $x \sim y$, if there is a line containing them. In that case we denote this line by xy . We write $x \not\sim y$ if two distinct points x and y are not collinear. For $x \in P$ and $A \subseteq P$, we define $x^\perp = \{x\} \cup \{y \in P : x \sim y\}$ and $A^\perp = \bigcap_{x \in A} x^\perp$. Note that $xy = \{x, y\}^\perp$ if $x \sim y$. A subset of P is a *subspace* of S if any line containing at least two of its points is contained in it. A *geometric hyperplane* of S is a subspace, different from the empty set and P , that meets every line non-trivially. The graph $\Gamma(P)$ with vertex set P , in which two distinct vertices are *adjacent* if they are collinear in S , is the *collinearity graph* of S . The diameter of $\Gamma(P)$ is called the *diameter* of S . If $\Gamma(P)$ is connected, we say that S is *connected*.

A *near polygon* [8] is a connected partial linear space of finite diameter satisfying the following near-polygon property (NP): *For each point-line pair (x, l) with $x \notin l$, there is a unique point in l nearest to x .* If the diameter of S is n , then S is called a *near $2n$ -gon*, and for $n = 3$ a *near hexagon*. A near 0-gon is a point

and a near 2-gon is a line. An important class of near polygons is the the class of generalized $2n$ -gons. Near 4-gons are precisely the class of *generalized quadrangles*.

Let $S = (P, L)$ be a near polygon. A subset C of P is *convex* if every shortest path in $\Gamma(P)$ between two points of C is entirely contained in C . A *quad* is a convex subset of P of diameter 2 such that no point of it is adjacent to all other points of it. We denote by $d(x, y)$ the *distance* in $\Gamma(P)$ between two points x and y of S . Let x_1 and x_2 be two points of S with $d(x_1, x_2) = 2$. If x_1 and x_2 have at least two common neighbours y_1 and y_2 such that one of the lines $x_i y_j$ contains at least three points, then x_1 and x_2 are contained in a unique quad which is a generalized quadrangle ([8], Proposition 2.5, p.10). A near polygon is called *dense* if every pair of points at distance 2 have at least two common neighbours. A structure theory for dense near polygons can be found in [2]. Dual polar spaces were characterized in terms of point-line geometries by Cameron. We refer to [3] for the definition and the related results concerning dual polar space. The class of dual polar spaces coincides with the class of classical dense near polygons.

In a dense near polygon, the number of lines through a point is independent of that point ([2], Lemma 19, p.152). A dense near $2n$ -gon is said to have parameters (s, t) if each line contains $s + 1$ points and each point is contained in $t + 1$ lines. For $n = 2$, a generalized quadrangle with parameters (s, t) is written as (s, t) -GQ, for short. A near polygon is *slim* if each line contains exactly three points. In that case if x and y are two collinear points, we define $x * y$ by $xy = \{x, y, x * y\}$. All slim dense near hexagons have been classified by Brouwer et al. [1]. We refer to [5] and [9] for some new classification results concerning slim dense near polygons.

Theorem 1.1. ([1], Theorem 1.1, p.349) *Any slim dense near hexagon is finite and is isomorphic to one of the eleven near hexagons with parameters as given below:*

v	891	759	729	567	405	243	135	105	81	45	27
t	20	14	11	14	11	8	6	5	5	3	2
t_2	4	2	1	2, 4	1, 2, 4	1, 4	2	1, 2	1, 4	1, 2	1

Here v is the number of points, t is the number of lines containing a point and t_2 is defined by $t_2 + 1 = |x^\perp \cap y^\perp|$ for points x, y with $d(x, y) = 2$ (though this depends on x and y).

The slim dense near hexagon on 135 points with parameters $(s, t, t_2) = (2, 6, 2)$ is the symplectic dual polar space of rank 3 over the field F_2 with two elements. We denote this near hexagon by $DSp(6, 2)$ and by $\mathbb{H}_3$ the near hexagon on 105 points with parameters $(s, t, t_2) = (2, 5, \{1, 2\})$. In the following, we present three known descriptions of the near hexagon $\mathbb{H}_3$. An equivalent description of this near hexagon is given in ([1], p.352) using the notion of a Fischer space.

Example 1.2. ([1], p.355) Let X be a set of size 8. Let S be the partial linear space whose point set consists of all partitions of X into four 2-subsets, and lines are the collections of partitions sharing two 2-subsets. Then S is a slim dense near hexagon isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}_3$.

Example 1.3. ([1], p.352) Let $Q(6, 2)$ be a non-singular quadric in $PG(6, 2)$ and let $DQ(6, 2)$ be the associated dual polar space. Let Π be a hyperplane of $PG(6, 2)$ intersecting $Q(6, 2)$ in a non-singular hyperbolic quadric $Q^+(5, 2)$. The set of all maximal subspaces of $Q(6, 2)$ which are not contained in $Q^+(5, 2)$ is a subspace of $DQ(6, 2)$ isomorphic to the near hexagon $\mathbb{H}_3$. (Note that $DQ(6, 2)$ is isomorphic to $DSp(6, 2)$.)

The next construction (Example 1.5) is due to Bart De Bruyn ([4], p.51). We first note down some facts about $(2, 2)$ -GQs which play an important role in Example 1.5 below as well as in our constructions in the next section.

Let $S = (P, L)$ be a $(2, 2)$ -GQ. Then S is unique up to an isomorphism ([6], 5.2.3, p.78). In the notation of [6], S is isomorphic to the classical generalized quadrangle $W(2) \simeq Q(4, 2)$. **A combinatorial model of a $(2, 2)$ -GQ:** Let $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. An *edge* of Ω is a 2-subset of Ω . A *factor* of Ω is a set of three pair-wise disjoint edges. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the set of all edges and $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of all factors of Ω . Then $|\mathcal{E}| = |\mathcal{F}| = 15$. Taking $\mathcal{E}$ as the point-set and $\mathcal{F}$ as the line-set, the pair $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is a $(2, 2)$ -GQ.

A k -arc of points of S is a set of k pair-wise non-collinear points of S . A k -arc is *complete* if it is not contained in a $(k + 1)$ -arc. A point x is a *center* of a k -arc if x is collinear with every point of it. A 3-arc is called a *triad*. We refer to [7] for a detailed study of k -arcs of a $(2, t)$ -GQ. We repeatedly use the following result, mostly without mention.

Lemma 1.4. ([7], Proposition 3.2, p.161) Let $S = (P, L)$ be a $(2, 2)$ -GQ and T be a triad of points of S . Then

- (i) $|T^\perp| = 1$ or 3;
- (ii) $|T^\perp| = 1$ if and only if T is an incomplete triad if and only if T is contained in a unique $(2, 1)$ -subGQ of S ;
- (iii) $|T^\perp| = 3$ if and only if T is a complete triad.

Dually, we can define a k -arc of lines of S and center of such a k -arc. Since $W(2)$ is self-dual ([6], 3.2.1, p.43), Lemma 1.4 holds for a triad of lines also. From the combinatorial model above, it can be seen that any two non-collinear points (respectively; disjoint lines) of S are contained in a unique complete triad of points (respectively; lines) of S .

Example 1.5. ([4], p.51) Let $S = (P, L)$ be the $(2, 2)$ -GQ. A partial linear space $S' = (P', L')$ can be constructed from S as follows. Set

$$P' = \{(x, y) \in P \times P : x = y \text{ or } x \sim y\}.$$

There are four types of lines in L' . For each line $l = \{x, y, z\}$ of S , we have the following lines of S' (up to a permutation of the points of l):

- (i) $\{(x, x), (y, y), (z, z)\},$
- (ii) $\{(x, x), (x, y), (x, z)\},$
- (iii) $\{(x, y), (y, z), (z, x)\}.$

Let $T = \{k, m, n\}$ be an incomplete triad of lines of S such that $T^\perp = \{l\}$. We may assume that $k \cap l = \{x\}$, $m \cap l = \{y\}$ and $n \cap l = \{z\}$. Let x' be an arbitrary point of $k \setminus \{x\}$. Let y' (respectively, z') be the unique point of $m \setminus \{y\}$ (respectively, $n \setminus \{z\}$) not collinear with x' . Then the lines of fourth type are:

- (iv) $\{(x, x'), (y, y'), (z, z')\}.$

Then S' is a slim dense near hexagon isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}_3$. (It can be seen that the set $\{x', y', z'\}$ is an incomplete triad of points of S .)

2. NEW CONSTRUCTIONS

Here, we provide new geometrical constructions for the near hexagons $DSp(6, 2)$ and $\mathbb{H}_3$ from two copies of a $(2, 2)$ -GQ. In fact, we first construct $\mathbb{H}_3$ and then construct $DSp(6, 2)$ in which $\mathbb{H}_3$ is embedded as a geometric hyperplane.

2.1. Construction of $\mathbb{H}_3$. In this construction the point set is the same as in Example 1.5 (up to an isomorphism). Let $S = (P, L)$ and $S' = (P', L')$ be two $(2, 2)$ -GQs. Let $x \leftrightarrow x'$, $x \in P, x' \in P'$, be an isomorphism between S and S' . We define a partial linear space $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ as follows. The point set is

$$\mathcal{P} = \{(x, y') \in P \times P' : y' \in x'^\perp\},$$

and the lines are of the form

$$\{(x, u'), (y, v'), (z, w')\},$$

where $T = \{x, y, z\}$ is either a line or a complete triad and $T'^\perp = \{u', v', w'\}$.

Theorem 2.1. *The partial linear space $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ is a slim dense near hexagon with parameters $(s, t, t_2) = (2, 5, \{1, 2\})$.*

2.2. Construction of $DSp(6, 2)$. Let $S = (P, L)$, $S' = (P', L')$ and $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ be as in the construction of $\mathbb{H}_3$. We define a partial linear space $\mathbb{S} = (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{L})$ as follows. The point set is

$$\mathbb{P} = \mathcal{P} \cup P \cup P',$$

and the line set $\mathbb{L}$ consists of the lines in $\mathcal{L}$ together with the following collection $\mathbb{L}_1$ of lines:

($\mathbb{L}_1$) : The collection $\mathbb{L}_1$ consists of lines of the form $\{x, (x, u'), u'\}$ for every point $(x, u') \in \mathcal{P}$.

Theorem 2.2. *The partial linear space $\mathbb{S} = (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{L})$ is a slim dense near hexagon with parameters $(s, t, t_2) = (2, 6, 2)$*

An immediate consequence of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 is that the near hexagon $\mathbb{H}_3$ is a geometric hyperplane of the near hexagon $DSp(6, 2)$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

Let $\alpha = (x, u')$ and $\beta = (y, v')$ be two distinct points of $\mathcal{S}$. By the construction of lines of $\mathcal{S}$, $\alpha \sim \beta$ if and only if $x \neq y$, $u' \neq v'$, $u' \in y'^\perp$ and $v' \in x'^\perp$. Let α and β be distinct non-collinear points of $\mathcal{S}$. Then one of the following possibilities occur:

- (A1) $x = y, u' \neq v'$;
- (A2) $x \neq y, u' = v'$;
- (A3) $x \neq y, u' \neq v', u' \notin y'^\perp$ and $v' \notin x'^\perp$;
- (A4) $x \neq y, u' \neq v'$ and either $u' \in y'^\perp$ and $v' \notin x'^\perp$ or $u' \notin y'^\perp$ and $v' \in x'^\perp$.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that (A1) or (A2) holds. Then $|\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp| \geq 2$.*

Proof. Assume that (A1) holds. Then $x' \in \{u', v'\}^\perp$. If $u' \sim v'$, we may assume that $v' \neq x'$. So $x'v' = u'v'$. Then $(v, u' * v')$ and $(x * v, u' * v')$ are in $\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$. If $u' \not\sim v'$, let $\{u', v', w'\}$ be the complete triad of S' containing u' and v' . Then (a, w') and (b, w') are in $\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$, where $\{a', b'\} = \{u', v'\}^\perp \setminus \{x'\}$.

Now assume that (A2) holds. Then $u \in \{x, y\}^\perp$ in S . If $x \sim y$, we assume that $u \neq y$. Then $(x * y, y')$ and $(x * y, y' * u')$ are in $\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$. If $x \not\sim y$, let $\{x, y, w\}$ be the complete triad of S containing x and y . Let $\{x, y\}^\perp \setminus \{u\} = \{a, b\}$ in S . Then (w, a') and (w, b') are in $\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that (A3) holds. Then $|\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp| \geq 3$.*

Proof. If $x \sim y$ and $u' \sim v'$, then $\{x', y', u', v'\}$ form a quadrangle in S' . Then (u, x') , (v, y') and $(u * v, x' * y')$ are in $\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$.

If $x \sim y$ and $u' \approx v'$, let $T' = \{u' * x', v' * y', z'\}$ be the complete triad of S' containing $u' * x'$ and $v' * y'$. Then $u', v' \in T'^\perp$ and $x' * y' \notin T'$. Now, $x' * y' \sim z'$, because $x' * y' \approx u' * x'$, $x' * y' \approx v' * y'$ and T' is a complete triad. Then $(u * x, x'), (v * y, y')$ and $(z, x' * y')$ are in $\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$.

By a similar argument, if $x \approx y$ and $u' \sim v'$ then $(u, u' * x'), (v, v' * y')$ and $(u * v, z')$ are in $\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$, where $\{u' * x', v' * y', z'\}$ is the complete triad of S' containing $u' * x'$ and $v' * y'$.

Now, assume that $x \approx y$ and $u' \approx v'$. If $u' = x'$ and $v' = y'$, then $(a, a'), (b, b')$ and (c, c') are in $\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$, where $\{u', v'\}^\perp = \{a', b', c'\}$ in S' . We may assume that $v' \neq y'$. Then the complete triads $\{x', y'\}^\perp$ and $\{u', v'\}^\perp$ of S' intersect at $w' = v' * y'$. This fact is independent of whether $u' = x'$ or not. Let $\{x', y'\}^\perp = \{a', b', w'\}$ and $\{u', v'\}^\perp = \{p', q', w'\}$ in S' . Since $a' \approx w'$, $b' \approx w'$ and $\{p', q', w'\}$ is a complete triad of S' , each of a' and b' is collinear with exactly one of p' and q' . Similarly, each of p' and q' is collinear with exactly one of a' and b' . So, we may assume that $a' \sim p'$ and $b' \sim q'$. Then $(p, a'), (q, b')$ and (w, w') are contained in $\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that (A4) holds. Then $d(\alpha, \beta) = 3$.*

Proof. We may assume that $u' \in y'^\perp$ and $v' \notin x'^\perp$. Suppose that $d(\alpha, \beta) = 2$ and $(z, w') \in \{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$. Then $z \notin \{x, y\}$, $w' \notin \{u', v'\}$, $u', v' \in z'^\perp$ and $w' \in \{x', y'\}^\perp$. Let $T' = \{x', y', z'\}$. Then T' is either a line or a complete triad of S' , because x, y and z are pair-wise distinct and $u', w' \in T'^\perp$ with $u' \neq w'$. Since $v' \in \{y', z'\}^\perp$, it follows that $v' \in T'^\perp$ and $v' \in x'^\perp$, a contradiction to our assumption.

So $d(\alpha, \beta) \neq 2$. Now, choose $w' \in \{x', y'\}^\perp$ with $w' \neq u'$. Then $\alpha \sim (y, w')$ and $d((y, w'), \beta) = 2$ by Lemma 3.1. Hence $d(\alpha, \beta) = 3$. $\square$

As a consequence of the above results, we have

Corollary 3.4. *The diameter of $\mathcal{S}$ is 3.*

We next prove that the near-polygon property (*NP*) is satisfied in $\mathcal{S}$. Let $L = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ be a line and θ be a point of $\mathcal{S}$. Let $\alpha = (x, u'), \beta = (y, v'), \gamma = (z, w')$ and $\theta = (p, q')$. Then $T = \{x, y, z\}$ is either a line or a complete triad of S and $T'^\perp = \{u', v', w'\}$.

Proposition 3.5. *If θ has distance 2 from two points of L , then it is collinear with the third point of L .*

Proof. Let $d(\theta, \alpha) = d(\theta, \beta) = 2$. We prove $\theta \sim \gamma$ by showing that $p \neq z, q' \neq w'$, $q' \in z'^\perp$ and $w' \in p'^\perp$.

If $p = x$ and $q' \neq v'$ (respectively, $p \neq x$ and $q' = v'$), then $d(\theta, \beta) = 2$ (respectively, $d(\theta, \alpha) = 2$) yields $v' \notin x'^\perp$ (respectively, $u' \notin x'^\perp$), a contradiction.

So $p = x$ if and only if $q' = v'$. Similarly, $p = y$ if and only if $q' = u'$. Thus, if $p \in \{x, y\}$, then $p \neq z, q' \neq w', q' \in z'^\perp$ and $w' \in p'^\perp$.

If $p \notin \{x, y\}$, then the above argument implies that $p \neq z$ and $q' \neq w'$. Also, $d(\theta, \alpha) = d(\theta, \beta) = 2$ yields $x', y' \notin q'^\perp$ and $u', v' \notin p'^\perp$. This implies that $q' \in z'^\perp$ and $w' \in p'^\perp$. $\square$

Proposition 3.6. *If θ has distance 3 from two points of $\mathbf{L}$, then it has distance 2 to the third point of $\mathbf{L}$.*

Proof. Let $d(\theta, \alpha) = d(\theta, \beta) = 3$. We prove $d(\theta, \gamma) = 2$. By Lemma 3.1, we may assume that $p \neq z$ and $q' \neq w'$. This together with $d(\theta, \alpha) = d(\theta, \beta) = 3$ imply that $p' \notin T', q' \notin T'^\perp$. We show that $q' \notin z'^\perp$ and $w' \notin p'^\perp$. This would complete the proof.

Suppose that $q' \in z'^\perp$. Since $q' \notin T'^\perp, q' \notin x'^\perp$ and $q' \notin y'^\perp$. Then, $d(\theta, \alpha) = d(\theta, \beta) = 3$ yields $u', v' \in p'^\perp$. This implies that $p' \in \{u', v'\}^\perp = T'$, a contradiction. A similar argument shows that if $w' \notin p'^\perp$, then $q' \in T'^\perp$, a contradiction. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 together with Corollary 3.4 imply that $\mathcal{S}$ is a near hexagon. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, $\mathcal{S}$ is dense. Since $|\mathcal{P}| = 105$, Theorem 1.1 completes the proof.

Thus, quads in $\mathcal{S}$ are $(2, 1)$ or $(2, 2)$ -GQs. In fact, it can be shown that equality holds in Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2

By the construction of lines of $\mathbb{S}$, no two points of P , as well as of P' , are collinear in $\mathbb{S}$. Further, if $x \in P$ and $u' \in P'$, then $x \sim u'$ if and only if $(x, u') \in \mathcal{P}$, or equivalently, $u' \in x'^\perp$ in S' . Let α and β be two distinct non-collinear points of $\mathbb{S}$ with $\alpha \in P \cup P'$. Then one of the following possibilities occur:

- (B1) $\alpha = x$ and $\beta = y$ for some $x, y \in P$ with $x \neq y$;
- (B2) $\alpha = u'$ and $\beta = v'$ for some $u', v' \in P'$ with $u' \neq v'$;
- (B3) $\alpha = x \in P$ and $\beta = u' \in P'$ with $u' \notin x'^\perp$;
- (B4) $\alpha = x \in P$ and $\beta = (y, v') \in \mathcal{P}$ with $x \neq y$ and $v' \in x'^\perp$ in S' ;
- (B5) $\alpha = u' \in P'$ and $\beta = (y, v') \in \mathcal{P}$ with $u' \neq v'$ and $y \in u'^\perp$ in S ;
- (B6) $\alpha = x \in P$ and $\beta = (y, v') \in \mathcal{P}$ with $x \neq y$ and $v' \notin x'^\perp$ in S' ;
- (B7) $\alpha = u' \in P'$ and $\beta = (y, v') \in \mathcal{P}$ with $u' \neq v'$ and $y \notin u'^\perp$ in S .

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that (B1) or (B2) holds. Then $|\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp| \geq 3$ in $\mathbb{S}$.*

Proof. If (B1) holds, then $w' \in \{x, y\}^\perp$ in $\mathbb{S}$ for each $w' \in \{x', y'\}^\perp$ in S' . So $|\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp| \geq 3$. Similarly, if (B2) holds then $|\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp| \geq 3$. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that (B3) holds. Then $d(\alpha, \beta) = 3$.*

Proof. Clearly $d(\alpha, \beta) \geq 3$ since $u' \notin x'^\perp$. Let $v' \in \{u', x'\}^\perp$ in S' . Then x, v', v, u' is a path of length 3 in $\Gamma(\mathbb{P})$. So $d(\alpha, \beta) = 3$. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that (B4) or (B5) holds. Then $|\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp| \geq 3$.*

Proof. Assume that (B4) holds. If $x \sim y$ in S , then $v' \in x'y'$ in S' . We may assume that $v' \neq x'$. Then $v', (x, x')$ and $(x, v' * x')$ are in $\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$. If $x \not\sim y$ in S , let $\{x', y'\}^\perp = \{u', v', w'\}$ in S' . Then $v', (x, u')$ and (x, w') are in $\{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$. A similar argument holds if (B5) holds. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that (B6) or (B7) holds. Then $d(\alpha, \beta) = 3$.*

Proof. Assume that (B6) holds. Suppose that $\theta \in \{\alpha, \beta\}^\perp$. Then $\theta \neq v'$, since $v' \notin x'^\perp$ in S' . So $\theta = (x, w')$ for some $w' \in x'^\perp$. Then $\theta \sim \beta$ implies that $v' \in x'^\perp$ in S' , a contradiction. So $d(\alpha, \beta) \neq 2$. Now, $y \sim \beta$ and $d(\alpha, y) = 2$ (Lemma 4.1). So $d(\alpha, \beta) = 3$. A similar argument can be applied if (B7) holds. $\square$

As a consequence of the above results of this section together with Corollary 3.4, we have

Corollary 4.5. *The diameter of $\mathbb{S}$ is 3.*

Next we prove that the property (NP) is satisfied in $\mathbb{S}$.

Proposition 4.6. *Let L be a line of $\mathbb{S}$ of type $(\mathbb{L}_1)$ and α be a point of $\mathbb{S}$ not contained in L . Then α is nearest to exactly one point of L .*

Proof. Let $L = \{x, \beta, u'\}$ where $\beta = (x, u') \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $\alpha = v' \in P'$. Then $v' \neq u'$ and $d(\alpha, u') = 2$ (Lemma 4.1). Now $d(\alpha, \beta) = 2$ or 3 according as $x \in v'^\perp$ in S or not. In the first case, $\alpha \sim x$, and in the later case, $d(\alpha, x) = 3$ (Lemma 4.3). A similar argument holds if $\alpha \in P$.

Let $\alpha = (y, v') \in \mathcal{P}$. If $x = y$, then $u' \neq v'$ and $x \in \{u, v\}^\perp$ in S . So $\alpha \sim x$ and $d(\alpha, \beta) = d(\alpha, u') = 2$ (Lemmas 3.1 and 4.3). Similarly, if $u' = v'$ then $\alpha \sim u'$ and $d(\alpha, \beta) = d(\alpha, x) = 2$. Assume that $x \neq y$ and $u' \neq v'$. If $\alpha \sim \beta$, then $u' \in y'^\perp$ and $v' \in x'^\perp$ in S' . So $d(\alpha, x) = d(\alpha, u') = 2$ (Lemma 4.3). If $d(\alpha, \beta) = 2$, then $u' \notin y'^\perp$ and $v' \notin x'^\perp$ in S' . By Lemma 4.4, $d(\alpha, x) = d(\alpha, u') = 3$. If $d(\alpha, \beta) = 3$, then either $u' \in y'^\perp$ and $v' \notin x'^\perp$, or $u' \notin y'^\perp$ and $v' \in x'^\perp$ in S' . Then $d(\alpha, x) = 3$ and $d(\alpha, u') = 2$ in the first case, and $d(\alpha, x) = 2$ and $d(\alpha, u') = 3$ in the later. $\square$

Now, let $L = \{\beta, \theta, \gamma\} \in \mathcal{L}$ be a line of $\mathbb{S}$ and $\alpha \in P \cup P'$. We take $\beta = (x, u'), \theta = (y, v')$ and $\gamma = (z, w')$.

Proposition 4.7. *If α has distance 2 from two points of L , then it is collinear with the third point of L .*

Proof. Let $\alpha = q' \in P'$ and $d(\alpha, \beta) = d(\alpha, \theta) = 2$. Then $q' \notin \{u', v'\}$ and $x, y \in q^\perp$ in S . Thus, $q' \in \{x', y'\}^\perp = \{u', v', w'\}$ in S' . So $q' = w'$ and $\alpha \sim \gamma$. A similar argument holds if $\alpha \in P$. $\square$

Proposition 4.8. *If α has distance 3 from two points of L , then it has distance 2 to the third point of L .*

Proof. Let $\alpha = q' \in P'$ and $d(\alpha, \beta) = d(\alpha, \theta) = 3$. Then $q' \notin \{u', v'\}$ and $x, y \notin q^\perp$ in S . So $q' \neq w'$ and $q' \in z'^\perp$ in S' . The later follows because $\{x, y, z\}$ is a line or a complete triad of S . Thus, $d(\alpha, \gamma) = 2$. A similar argument holds if $\alpha \in P$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.2. By the results of this section together with Theorem 2.1, $\mathbb{S}$ is a slim dense near hexagon. Since $|\mathcal{P}| = 135$, Theorem 1.1 completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, J. I. Hall and H. A. Wilbrink, Near polygons and Fischer spaces, *Geom. Dedicata* **49** (1994), 349–368.
- [2] A. E. Brouwer and H. A. Wilbrink, The sturcture of near polygons with quads, *Geom. Dedicata* **14** (1983), 145–176.
- [3] P. J. Cameron, Dual polar spaces, *Geom. Dedicata* **12** (1982), 75–85.
- [4] B. De Bruyn, A new geometrical construction for the near hexagon with parameters $(s, t, T_2) = (2, 5, \{1, 2\})$, *J. Geom.* **78** (2003), 50–58.
- [5] B. De Bruyn, “Near Polygons”, *Frontiers in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.
- [6] S. E. Payne and J. A. Thas, “Finite Generalized Quadrangles”, *Research Notes in Mathematics*, 110, Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1984.
- [7] B. K. Sahoo, Generalized quadrangles of order $(2, t)$, “Pragmatic Algebra”, 157–170, SAS Int. Publ., Delhi, 2006.
- [8] E. Shult and A. Yanushka, Near n -gons and line systems, *Geom. Dedicata* **9** (1980), 1–72.
- [9] P. Vandecasteele, On the classification of dense near polygons with lines of size 3, Ph.D Thesis, Universiteit Gent, 2004, available from <http://cage.rug.ac.be/geometry/theses.php>